

14.41 Public Finance & Public Policy
Final Exam
Wednesday, December 18th, 2019

Last Name (Please Print): _____

First Name: _____

Kerberos ID: _____

MIT ID number: _____

Instructions. Please read carefully

This exam has a total of **180 points**. You will have **180 minutes** to complete this exam. This is a closed book exam. Blank pages marked as ungraded may be used for scrap work - do not write final answers on those sheets as they will NOT be graded. You are not allowed to discuss the exam before receiving the grade. **ALL EXAM SHEETS MUST BE TURNED IN.**

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

1 True/False/Uncertain (36 points)

For each of the statements below evaluate whether they are true, false or uncertain. Provide brief explanations.

1. (4 points) If individual preferences for a public good are single-peaked, then the median voter theorem tell us that majority voting leads to the efficient level of provision of the public good.
2. (4 points) The donut hole on Medicare part D is an efficient way of dealing with moral hazard concerns in health insurance.
3. (4 points) The Tiebout model tells us that education should be provided and funded by local governments.

4. (4 points) A negative externality of smoking comes from the fact that it damages the health of the smoker.

5. (4 points) We know from basic principles of tax incidence that the statutory burden of payroll taxes is irrelevant, that is, there would be no real economic consequences from shifting the statutory burden from employers to employees or vice-versa.

6. (4 points) Assuming a competitive labor market, the wage rate is the appropriate measure to value driving time saved.

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

2 Short essay questions (20 points)

1. Child care taxes (10 points)

Comment on the advantages and disadvantages of taxing the child care services that are provided by the child's own family. Be sure to evaluate both efficiency and redistributive consequences.

2. Contingent valuation methods (10 points)

Comment on the pros and cons of using contingent valuation methods compared to revealed preferences approaches.

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

4. (5 points) Suppose MIT decides to subsidize health insurance. Why would MIT want or not want to do that? What would be the minimal subsidy that generates an efficient provision of full coverage health insurance contracts?

5. Now the company has carefully decided on the price, and it is offering only the full insurance contract. The company has noticed that everyone's insurance claims have risen considerably relative to what was observed in the data set they received, when no one had insurance.

(a) (4 points) How could you set up an empirical strategy, using the data the insurance firm has now, to see what effect the new contract has on medical spending?

(b) (4 points) Based on past evidence, what do you expect from your empirical results? How do you expect health insurance to affect health care utilization and health outcomes?

6. (a) (4 points) What are some ways that MIT could regulate health care contracts to better deal with adverse selection?

(b) (4 points) What could MIT do to take care of moral hazard concerns?

(c) (4 points) How do policies that are designed to deal with adverse selection and moral hazard interact?

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

4 Taxation on labor supply and savings (40 points)

Individuals in Neverland live for two periods and leave no bequests. They work for a wage w , consume and save (at an interest rate r) in the first period and consume in the second period. Each individual has a utility function given by:

$$U = c_1 + \beta \ln(c_2) - \frac{2}{3}L^{3/2}$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is consumption of period 1, $c_2 > 0$ is consumption of period 2 and $L > 0$ is labor. $\beta \in [0, 1]$ is a preference parameter. Assume that wages are high enough so that you don't have to worry about consumption being negative.

1. (2 points) Write down the intertemporal budget constraint of each individual.

2. (a) (2 points) Write down the maximization problem of each individual.

(b) (4 points) Compute consumption for each period and labor supply.

3. (a) (2 points) What happens to hours of work when wages go up? Explain the intuition.

(b) (4 points) What is the elasticity of labor supply? How does this number relate to the empirical evidence on labor supply elasticities?

4. (a) (2 points) Compute savings.

(b) (2 points) What happens to savings when interest rates go up? Why? Explain in terms of income and substitution effects?

- (c) (4 points) What does your finding imply for the effectiveness of tax subsidies to retirement savings? What is the available evidence on tax subsidies versus other tools to increase retirement savings?
5. (a) (4 points) Considering the results that you found in parts 3 and 4, what does this imply about the optimal tax on labor versus savings? How does this relate to the existing taxation of labor and savings in the US today?
- (b) (4 points) What are the arguments in favor of the relative balance of taxation on savings and labor in the US today? What are the arguments against that relative balance?

6. Now suppose the government implements a social security program aimed at increasing the overall savings in the economy. The program is financed by a tax τ on labor in the first period, and it provides a flat cash transfer T to each household in the second period.
- (a) (3 points) How will this impact labor supply? Explain intuitively and solve. Assume that wages are high enough so that you don't have to worry about consumption being negative.

- (b) (3 points) How will this impact savings? Explain intuitively and solve.

(c) (4 points) How do these effects compare to the empirical evidence on the impacts of the Social Security program?

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

3. (5 points) The mayor asks for your advice on the ratio of optimal taxes on beer and juice. Compute that ratio and explain to the mayor which market would have higher taxes and why. *Ignore externalities in this question, and assume supply is perfectly elastic.*
4. (a) (4 points) The mayor of Jersey City hires an economist who mentions that alcohol has negative externalities because its consumption increases the number of accidents and decreases the productivity of not just the workers who consume it but also their co-workers. Each additional liter of consumption of alcohol is responsible, on average, for accidents and other harms costing around one thousand dollars and occurring with a probability of 0.05%. How should the presence of the externality impact the ratio of optimal taxes across these goods? Explain intuitively and solve for the new optimal tax ratio.

- (b) (6 points) When the mayor was about to enact the corrective taxation on top of the tax rates you computed before, she realized that she would raise too much revenues, in excess of the amount she needs to raise. She also wonders whether the ratio of the taxes she found before was right. Are her worries well grounded? What should be t_B as a function of t_J , elasticities, and the externalities? Explain.
5. (6 points) The citizens of Jersey City, outraged with the tax reform the mayor has enacted, decide to hold a series of referendums over different tax plans. Suppose preferences for alcohol and orange juice are perfectly negatively correlated in the population, there is just a small fraction of the population who are heavy consumers of alcohol, and more than half the population does not consume alcohol in any measure. What is the likely outcome of the votes? What else could happen in this process?

6. Another economist has noticed that most alcohol that is consumed in Jersey City comes from local breweries, and therefore it is unreasonable to assume that the supply of alcohol is perfectly inelastic. She has estimated elasticity of supply of alcohol in Jersey City is actually 0.5. Local breweries use land, capital and labor as inputs in their productive process.

(a) (3 points) If the government uses the taxes you found in question 4, would it raise more or less taxes than expected?

(b) (3 points) Who will bear the burden of those taxes in the short, medium and long run?

(c) (3 points) How your answer for (b) would change if there is a minimum wage for Jersey City workers? What if beer producers had previously lobbied for minimum beer prices?

- (d) (3 points) How are tax burdens different if most of the beer consumed in Jersey City is not produced in Jersey City itself but in the nearby city of Newark?

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE FOR SCRAPWORK - UNGRADED

Students for Open and Universal Learning

<https://soul.mit.edu>

<https://mitsoul.org>

MIT 14.41 Public Finance and Public Policy

Fall 2022

Licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

For more information about citing these materials or our terms of use, visit

<https://mitsoul.org/license>.